UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,700	04/25/2006	Detlev Wittmer	WITT3005/FJD	8701
23364 BACON & THO	7590 06/09/201 ¹ OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE			WU, JUNCHUN	
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,700	WITTMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JUNCHUN WU	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\ □ Intensio	Summary /PTO 413\	
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/534,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 have been cancelled.

2. Claims 5-10 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ("PROFIBUS technology and application – system description" Oct. 2002, hereinafter "PROFIBUS"), in view of Diedrich et al. ("Field Device Integration in DCS Engineering using a Device Model" hereinafter "Diedrich") and further view of Pőschmann et al. ("Experience with formal methods implementing the PROFIBUS FMS and DP protocol for industrial applications" hereinafter "Pőschmann")

Per claim 5

PROFIBUS discloses

A method for producing software modules for field devices for process automation technology, wherein the software modules serve as device descriptions and have defined interfaces for

Art Unit: 2191

application programs in process control systems (See PROFIBUS page 27 Section 7.3 under "Device Description as Software Component") comprising the steps of:

Page 3

But PROFIBUS does not explicitly disclose

• producing standard device descriptions, syntactically and semantically correct, from electrical device descriptions for field devices not having a uniform form, or language by means of a first compiler or generator; and converting the syntactically and semantically correct standard device descriptions further into corresponding software modules by means of a second compiler.

However, Diedrich discloses

- producing standard device descriptions, from electrical device descriptions for field devices not having a uniform form, or language by means of a first compiler or generator (e.g. Diedrich discloses on page 167, last paragraph "There are two steps within the device description technology. Firstly, the device description has to be generated. This is done by compilers or generators, which translate the ASCII device description.")
- converting standard device descriptions further into corresponding software modules by means of a second compiler (e.g. on page 168, 2nd paragraph "The following tools have to be available (see Figure 7): an editor, a compiler, an interpreter for the handling of the device description, and PC Software with the components HMI interface and API mapping interface for the use of the Device Description by the operator. The editor is the front end to the device manufacturer who provides the machine readable device

Art Unit: 2191

description. The compiler translates these DDs into the DCOM server format (i.e. software module).")

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teaching of PROFIBUS with the teachings of Diedrich to include producing device descriptions by means of a compiler and converting device descriptions into software module by means of a compiler in order to provide a method for field device offers diagnosis, Maintenance and pre-processing functions. (see Diedrich's abstract)

Both PROFIBUS and Diedrich do not disclose

• Standard device description is syntactically and semantically correct.

However, Pöschmann discloses

using the syntactic and semantic model of the specification in the PROFIBUS application (on page 277 "Extensive measures in the securing of quality are necessary to guarantee conformity, interoperability, and in future exchangeability of equipment as well. These imply the use of Formal Description Technique (FDT) as used in the field of telecommunications or local area networks also in manufacturing and process automation. One advantage is that critical parts of the communication protocol can be verified during the phase of specification. Syntactic and semantic errors within the specification can be noticed early." Pöschmann further discloses using VEDA tool to verify the specification. On page 282 "What problems of the specification can be detected by using VEDA. The first thing is the syntactic correctness of the specification using the

Application/Control Number: 10/534,700 Page 5

Art Unit: 2191

compiler. Most problems can be solved related to the interface between modules or layers according to the ISO OSI reference model.")

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of PROFIBUS with the teachings of Diedrich and include using syntactic and semantic model within the specification and tool to verify and correct syntactically by Pőschmann's teaching in order to achieve top-quality products, easy to maintain, and a good structure. (see Pőschmann on page 277)

Per claim 6

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and PROFIBUS further discloses

interfaces and the software modules meet the FDT/DTM specifications
 (PROFI BUS Guideline -Order No. 2.162 "Specification for Profibus Device
 Descriptions and Device Integration", Volume 3) (See PROFIBUS page 27 Section 7.3
 "The FDT Interface" & "DTM (device type manager) is integrated in the engineering tool or control system over the FDT interface.").

Per claim 7

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and PROFIBUS implicitly discloses

the electrical device descriptions are one of: PDM device descriptions, HCF device descriptions or company-specific device descriptions (In the ordinary skill of art, PDM (Process Device Manager) is an universal tool for configuration, parameter assignment, commissioning, diagnostics and maintenance of intelligent field devices and automation components and also HCF (HART® Communication Foundation) standard device description is a comprehensive set of software tool for development, testing and maintenance of HART device descriptions. Those tools are well known and may use in PROFIBUS).

Per claim 8

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and PROFIBUS further discloses device description is an EDD 1.1 device description (PROFIBUS Guideline -Order No. 2.162 "Specification for Profibus Device Descriptions and Device Integration", Volume 2) (See PROFIBUS page 27 Section 7.2 "The specification of the EDDL is an integral component of the international standard IEC 61804.").

Diedrich discloses

syntactically and semantically correct (e.g. on page 165, 1st paragraph " *The device description* has to be based on a device model, because of the semantic behind the lexical and syntactical elements.")

Per claim 9

Art Unit: 2191

The rejection of claim 8 is incorporated and PROFIBUS further discloses

comprising the step of: producing the EDD 1.1 device descriptions PDM device descriptions (In the ordinary skill of art, PDM (Process Device Manager) is an universal tool for configuration, parameter assignment, commissioning, diagnostics and maintenance of intelligent field devices and automation components. The tool is well known and may use in PROFIBUS).

Per claim 10

The rejection of claim 8 is incorporated and PROFIBUS further discloses

• comprising the step of: using the second compiler to produce graphical user interfaces in XML language from the EDD 1.1 device descriptions (on page 31 "The component editor interface generates the component description in the form of an XML file whose configuration and contents are defined in the PROFInet specification.")

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNCHUN WU whose telephone number is (571)270-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-16:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,700 Page 8

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JW

/Wei Y Zhen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191